

8-10-2011

## State v. Sayer Petition Dckt. 38086

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/not\\_reported](https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/not_reported)

---

### Recommended Citation

"State v. Sayer Petition Dckt. 38086" (2011). *Not Reported*. 64.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/not\\_reported/64](https://digitalcommons.law.uidaho.edu/not_reported/64)

This Court Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Idaho Supreme Court Records & Briefs at Digital Commons @ UIIdaho Law. It has been accepted for inclusion in Not Reported by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ UIIdaho Law. For more information, please contact [annablaine@uidaho.edu](mailto:annablaine@uidaho.edu).

PETITION FOR REVIEW  
of Decision of Court of Appeals

J. Jones

<b>August 4, 2011</b> Date	11-152 Review Number
<b>NAME OF CASE:</b>  <b>STATE v. SAYER</b>	2011 MS 10 P 347 SCHEDULE B Voting Distribution  38086, 38087 & 38098 Court of Appeals Number

Staff Attorney analysis of Rule 118 I.A.R. grounds for review:

- ☐ The decision of the Court of Appeals adjudicated a question of substance not previously determined by the Supreme Court, namely the question:
- ☐ The decision of the Court of Appeals is not in accord with decisions of the Idaho Supreme Court or the U.S. Supreme Court.
- ☐ The decision of the Court of Appeals has so far departed from accepted and usual appellate practice as to require review.
- ☐ Review recommended by the Court of Appeals.
- ☐ Other.

Recommendation by staff attorney:

*Deny Review Probation Denicator*  
The background of this analysis is on the following page(s).

If no vote is expressed by any member of the Court within ~ days from the above date, an Order will be entered listing his vote as denying this Petition for Review. However, this period will be extended one day for each day this Court is out of town hearing cases.

RESPONSE

RESPONSE DEADLINE:

Return to Chief Justice by:

☐

GRANT


☒

DENY

☐

TO CONFERENCE

Justice



*8-8-11*

Date

COMMENTS:

**PETITION FOR REVIEW  
of Decision of Court of Appeals**

<b>August 4, 2011</b> Date	11-152 Review Number
<b>NAME OF CASE:</b>  <b>STATE v. SAYER</b>	SCHEDULE B Voting Distribution
	38086, 38087 & 38098 Court of Appeals Number

Staff Attorney analysis of Rule 118 I.A.R. grounds for review:

- ☐ The decision of the Court of Appeals adjudicated a question of substance not previously determined by the Supreme Court, namely the question:
- ☐ The decision of the Court of Appeals is not in accord with decisions of the Idaho Supreme Court or the U.S. Supreme Court.
- ☐ The decision of the Court of Appeals has so far departed from accepted and usual appellate practice as to require review.
- ☐ Review recommended by the Court of Appeals.
- ☐ Other.

Recommendation by staff attorney:

*Deny Review Probation Denial*  
The background of this analysis is on the following page(s).

If no vote is expressed by any member of the Court within ~ days from the above date, an Order will be entered listing his vote as denying this Petition for Review. However, this period will be extended one day for each day this Court is out of town hearing cases.

**RESPONSE**

**RESPONSE DEADLINE:**

Return to Chief Justice by:

☐

**GRANT**

☐

**DENY**

☐

**TO CONFERENCE**

Justice \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**COMMENTS:**